

# United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	JAMES F. HOLDERMAN	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge	
CASE NUMBER	12 C 0014	DATE	January 12, 2012
CASE TITLE	U.S. ex rel. Alex Negron (#R-17084) vs. Marcus Hardy, et al.		

**DOCKET ENTRY TEXT:**

The petitioner's motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* [#3] is granted. The petitioner's motion to stay proceedings [#5] is granted. The case is stayed pending disposition of ongoing post-conviction proceedings in the state court system. The respondent need only enter an appearance at this time; no response to the petition is required until the stay is lifted. The petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel [#4] is denied as premature. On the court's own motion, Illinois Attorney General Lisa Madigan is dismissed as a party. The petitioner is directed to file a status report concerning the progress of state court proceedings by May 1, 2012.

■ [For further details see text below.]

Docketing to mail notices.

## STATEMENT

Alex Negron, an Illinois state prisoner, has filed a *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. the petitioner challenges his conviction for first degree murder on the grounds that: (1) trial and appellate counsel were ineffective; (2) the Illinois statute enhancing the penalty for crimes involving the use of a firearm is unconstitutional; and (3) the evidence failed to establish the petitioner's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

The petitioner having shown that he is indigent, his motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is granted. Although the petitioner has paid the statutory filing fee, his i.f.p. status may affect such future considerations as his eligibility for court-appointed counsel.

The petitioner maintains that he has exhausted state court remedies as to all claims raised in his habeas petition and appears to have filed this action in a timely manner. However, he requests that this case be held in abeyance pending resolution of a successive post-conviction petition he has filed in state court and concerning which he is awaiting ruling. The petitioner filed his federal habeas petition now in order to preserve his right to federal review of his conviction. The motion is granted. This case is stayed pending disposition of the ongoing post-conviction proceedings. The petitioner is directed to file a status report by May 1, 2012, notifying this court as to the posture of the state court case.

(CONTINUED)

mjm

## STATEMENT (continued)

The respondent need only enter an appearance at this time. The respondent will be directed to answer the petition or otherwise plead after the case stay has been lifted. This preliminary order does not, of course, preclude the State from making whatever waiver, exhaustion or timeliness arguments it may wish to present.

The petitioner is instructed to file all future papers concerning this action with the Clerk of Court in care of the Prisoner Correspondent. The petitioner must provide the court with the original plus a judge's copy (including a complete copy of any exhibits) of every document filed. In addition, the petitioner must send an exact copy of any court filing to the Chief, Criminal Appeals Division, Attorney General's Office, 100 West Randolph Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60601. Every document filed by the petitioner must include a certificate of service stating to whom exact copies were sent and the date of mailing. Any paper that is sent directly to the judge or that otherwise fails to comply with these instructions may be disregarded by the court or returned to the petitioner.

The petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel is denied at this time as premature. Counsel must be appointed in a habeas corpus proceeding only if an evidentiary hearing is needed or if interests of justice so require. *See* Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. Whether the interests of justice require appointment of counsel in this case cannot be determined until after the court has had an opportunity to review and consider the respondent's answer to the petition.

Finally, on the court's own motion, Illinois Attorney General Lisa Madigan is dismissed as a party. *See Hogan v. Hanks*, 97 F.3d 189, 190 (7th Cir. 1996) (a state's attorney general is a proper party in a habeas petition only if the petitioner is not then confined); *see also* Rules 2(a) and (b) of Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. In this case, the petitioner is not challenging a future sentence, but rather his present confinement. Therefore, Illinois' Attorney General is not a proper party.

*James F. Holdeman*